

EXHIBIT A

1 Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
2 Sean P. Rodriguez, CA Bar No. 262437
3 Beko Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
4 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
5 San Francisco, CA 94104
6 Tel.: (415) 293-6800
7 Fax: (415) 293-6899
mmao@bsflpp.com
srodriguez@bsflpp.com
brichardson@bsflpp.com

8 James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
9 Rossana Baeza (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
10 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
Miami, FL 33131
11 Tel.: (305) 539-8400
Fax: (303) 539-1307
jlee@bsflpp.com
rbaeza@bsflpp.com

12 Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
13 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA. 90067
14 Tel: (310) 789-3100
Fax: (310) 789-3150
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN,
WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, and
CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, individually and
on behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

William S. Carmody (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Shawn Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019-6023
Tel.: (212) 336-8330
Fax: (212) 336-8340
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MORGAN & MORGAN
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: (813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com

Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
MORGAN & MORGAN
711 Van Ness Ave., Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 358-6913
Fax: (415) 358-6923
mram@forthepeople.com

Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO ERNST &
YOUNG LLC TO PRODUCE
DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION OR
OBJECTS**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 Pursuant to FRCP Rule 45(a)(4), notice is hereby provided that Plaintiffs CHASOM
3 BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, and CHRISTOPHER
4 CASTILLO are issuing a subpoena for document production to ERNST & YOUNG LLC and intend
5 on serving their San Jose office. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of said subpoena.

6 Dated: September 14, 2021 MORGAN & MORGAN

7 /s/ Ryan J. McGhee

8 John A. Yanchunis (*pro hac vice*)
9 Ryan J. McGee (*pro hac vice*)
10 **MORGAN & MORGAN**
11 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
12 Tampa, FL 33602
13 Tel.: (813) 223-5505
Fax: (813) 222-4736
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com

14 Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
15 **MORGAN & MORGAN**
16 711 Van Ness Ave., Suite 500
17 San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 358-6913
Fax: (415) 358-6923
mram@forthepeople.com

18 Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
19 Sean P. Rodriguez, CA Bar No. 262437
20 Beko Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
21 **BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**
22 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
23 San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel.: (415) 293-6800
Fax: (415) 293-6899
mmao@bsfllp.com
srodriguez@bsfllp.com
brichardson@bsfllp.com

24 James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
25 Rossana Baeza (admitted *pro hac vice*)
26 **BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**
27 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
28 Miami, FL 33131
Tel.: (305) 539-8400

1 Fax: (303) 539-1307
2 jlee@bsflp.com
rbaeza@bsflp.com

3 William S. Carmody
4 Shawn Rabin
5 Steven M. Shepard
6 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**
7 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, New York 10019-6023
Telephone: (212) 336-8330
Facsimile: (212) 336-8340

8 Amanda K. Bonn (270891)
9 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**
10 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Jennifer Miller, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in the County of San Francisco, California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102.

On September 14, 2021, I served the following document described as:

**NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO ERNST & YOUNG TO PRODUCE
DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION OR OBJECTS**

By electronic mail transmission from jbmiller@forthepeople.com on September 14, 2021,

by transmitting a PDF format copy of such document to each person at the e-mail addresses listed below. The document was transmitted by electronic transmission and such transmission was reported as complete and without error:

Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice)
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: 312-705-7400
Fax: 312-705-7401
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com

Diane M. Doolittle
Thao Thai
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Tel: 650-801-5000
Fax: 650-8015100
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
thaothai@quinnemanuel.com

Stephen A. Broome
Viola Trebicka
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel: 213-443-3000
Fax: 213-443-3100
stephenbroome@quinnmanuel.com
violatrebicka@quinnmanuel.com

William Burck (pro hac vice)
Josef Ansorge (pro hac vice)
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C., 20005
Tel: 202-538-8000
Fax: 202-538-8100
[williamburck@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:wiliamburck@quinnemanuel.com)
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com

Jonathan Tse
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: 415-875-6600
Fax: 415-875-6700
jonathantse@quinnmanuel.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Executed on September 14, 2021, at Memphis, Tennessee.

/s/ Jennifer Miller

Jennifer Miller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Northern District of California

CHASOM BROWN, et al.)	
<i>Plaintiff</i>)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 5:20-CV-03664
GOOGLE, LLC)	
<i>Defendant</i>)	

**SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: Ernst & Young, 303 S. Almaden Boulevard, San Jose, CA 95113

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Attachment A

Place: Morgan & Morgan Complex Litigation Group, 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102	Date and Time: 10/22/2021 5:00 pm
--	--------------------------------------

Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 09/14/2021

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Ryan J. McGee

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (*name of party*) Chasom Brown, Maria Nguyen, William Byatt, Jeremy Davis, and Christopher Castillo, who issues or requests this subpoena, are: Ryan J. McGee, MORGAN & MORGAN, 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor, Tampa, FL 33602, Tel. (813) 223-5505

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 5:20-CV-03664

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for (*name of individual and title, if any*) _____
on (*date*) _____.

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows:

on (*date*) _____ ; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because:

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____
Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

1 **SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM: ATTACHMENT A**

2 *Chasom Brown, et al. v. Google, LLC*, Case No. 5:20-CV-03664 (N.D. California)

3 **DEFINITIONS**

4 A. The term “ALL” includes the word “ANY,” and vice versa.

5 B. The terms “CONCERNING,” “RELATE,” or “RELATING TO” mean and include
6 addressing, analyzing, concerning, constituting, containing, commenting on, discussing, describing,
7 identifying, in connection with, referring to, reflecting, relating, relating to, reporting on, stating, or
8 dealing with, in whole or in part, in addition to their customary and usual meanings, and shall be
9 construed in the broadest sense possible

10 C. The terms “COMMUNICATION” or “COMMUNICATIONS” are to be construed
11 in the broadest possible sense, and include, but are not limited to, any transmission of information
12 in any form and of any kind, including electronic, oral, or written transmission, whether such was
13 by chance, prearranged, formal or informal, including conversations in person, notes of
14 conversations, letters, electronic mail, telephone conversations, facsimile transmissions,
15 correspondence or memoranda, formal statements, press releases or newspaper articles.

16 D. The term “DOCUMENT” and “DOCUMENTS” shall be synonymous in meaning
17 and equal to the broadest meaning provided by Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
18 INCLUDING, without limitation, original and any non-identical copy of every kind of written,
19 printed, typed, recorded, or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, including all
20 correspondence, letters, telegrams, telexes, messages, memoranda, instructions, emails,
21 handwritten or recorded notes, and all records, schedules, reports, surveys, calculations, transcripts,
22 notes, time cards, personal expense reports, appointment books, calendars, plans, purchase orders,
23 contracts, subcontracts, charts, COMMUNICATIONS, database, data compilation, diary, draft,
24 drawing, electronically stored information, emails, fax, floppy disk, graph, hard drive, image, index,
25 instant message, letter, log, magnetic tape, memorandum, note, optical disk, photograph, report,
26 sound recording, spreadsheet, storage device, text message, version, voicemail or writing. This term
27 shall apply to any DOCUMENT, whether in hard copy or electronic form, on any computers or
28 other system.

E. The term "INCLUDE" or "INCLUDING" means "include, but not limited to" or "including, but not limited to."

F. The term "YOU" or "YOUR" means or refers to ERNST & YOUNG, LLC and any of its employees, independent contractors, attorneys, agents, representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, and anyone acting or purporting to act on its behalf.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. For purposes of reading, interpreting, or construing the scope of the DEFINITIONS, INSTRUCTIONS, and REQUESTS, all of the terms shall be given their most expansive and inclusive interpretation. This INCLUDES the following:

- (a) The singular form of a word shall be interpreted as plural, and vice versa.
- (b) “And,” “or,” as well as “and/or” shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Topic anything that might otherwise be construed to be outside the scope of the Topic.
- (c) “All,” “each” and “any” shall be construed as “all, each, and any.”
- (d) The use of a verb in any particular tense shall be construed as the use of the verb in all other tenses as necessary to bring within the scope of the Topic any document that might otherwise be construed to be outside the scope of the Topic.

2. The Person or Party to whom these Requests are addressed shall respond pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by producing the following designated Documents (see below), including Electronically Stored Information (ESI) in the possession, custody or control of the respondent.

3. The specified place of the production is:

711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102

4. The date of the production is within 30 days of the date of service of this Subpoena.

5. This subpoena is issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, regarding the lawsuit: *Chasom Brown, et al. v. Google, LLC*, Case No. 5:20-CV-03664 (N.D. California).

6. If for any reason, the Party to whom these Requests are addressed shall withhold or

1 refuse to answer and/or provide any of the requested Documents, the Party shall state the date of
2 such Document, the substance and nature of such Document, and from and to whom the Document
3 is directed. The Party should also clearly explain the reason and/or privilege claimed for the refusal
4 to answer any Request and/or produce any of the Documents requested.

5 7. If any Document or information requested about a Document is withheld on the
6 ground of privilege or other legal doctrine, then please submit a statement in writing, setting forth:
7 (1) the type of Document; (2) the date of the Document; (3) the author and recipient(s) of the
8 Document; (4) the general subject matter of the Document; (5) identified attachments to the
9 Document; and (6) the legal ground for withholding the Document.

10 8. The Party to whom these Requests are addressed shall produce the requested
11 Documents as they are kept in the usual course of business, or shall organize and label them to
12 correspond with the categories in each individual Request.

13 9. If a Document requested herein was but no longer is in the possession, custody, or
14 control of the Party to whom these Requests are addressed, state whether it: (1) is missing or lost,
15 (2) has been destroyed, (3) has been transferred to others, identifying such others, or (4) has
16 otherwise been disposed of. In each such instance, fully explain the circumstances surrounding the
17 authorization of such disposition, and state the date or approximate date thereof.

18 10. If a Document requested herein is not in the possession, custody, or control of the
19 party to whom these Requests are addressed but such Party knows or may know how the Document
20 might be obtained, the Party shall set forth such secondary knowledge in full with sufficient detail
21 to permit procurement of the requested Document through the most reliable, efficacious, and
22 expedient means possible.

23 11. If a Document requested herein is not in the possession, custody, or control of the
24 party to whom this Request is addressed, identify by name, position, home address, work address,
25 and phone number of each individual who has or may have possessed the Document, had access to
26 it, viewed it, or known of its contents, in whole or in part.

27 12. The Party to whom these Requests are addressed is hereby put on notice that the
28 propounding party will treat a failure to fully respond to these Requests as grounds for a motion

1 pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 45(g).

2 **REQUESTS**

3 1. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any assessment or report CONCERNING
4 any Google privacy program, INCLUDING pursuant to Section IV of the Federal Trade
5 Commission Agreement Containing Consent Order entered into with Google in or about 2011.

6 2. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO notes or summaries of interviews of Google
7 employees CONCERNING any Google privacy program, INCLUDING with respect to Google's
8 promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in connection with Incognito and any other
9 private browsing mode.

10 3. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO notes or summaries of reviewing any
11 Google DOCUMENTS, data, or processes CONCERNING any Google privacy program,
12 INCLUDING with respect to Google's promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in
13 connection with Incognito and any other private browsing mode.

14 4. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any of YOUR internal work papers or
15 assessments CONCERNING any Google privacy program, INCLUDING with respect to
16 Google's promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in connection with Incognito and any
17 other private browsing mode.

18 5. ALL drafts of any report CONCERNING any Google privacy program,
19 INCLUDING with respect to Google's promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in
20 connection with Incognito and any other private browsing mode.

21 6. ALL COMMUNICATIONS with Google and DOCUMENTS provided to YOU
22 by Google CONCERNING any Google privacy program, INCLUDING with respect to Google's
23 promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in connection with Incognito and any other
24 private browsing mode.

25 7. ALL COMMUNICATIONS with anyone other than Google (including for
26 example any federal regulators) CONCERNING any Google privacy program, INCLUDING
27 with respect to Google's promised privacy controls and Google's conduct in connection with
28 Incognito and any other private browsing mode.



**Building a better
working world**

Ernst & Young LLP
One Manhattan West
New York, NY 10001
Tel: +1 212 773 3000
Fax: +1 212 773 6350
ey.com

Rachelle Navarro
Assistant General Counsel
Tel: +1 212 773 3709
Rachelle.Navarro@ey.com

September 29, 2021

By Email

**Ryan J. McGee
Morgan & Morgan
201 N. Franklin Street
7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602**

**Chasom Brown, et al., v. Google, LLC
No. 20 Civ. 3664 (N.D. Cal.)**

Dear Mr. McGee:

Non-party Ernst & Young LLP ("EY") was served with a subpoena on September 16, 2021, which purports to require EY to produce documents on October 22, 2021. EY hereby objects to the subpoena pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the grounds stated below.

1. EY objects to the subpoena's request for the inspection and copying of documents in its possession, custody and/or control, to the extent it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and seeks to impose obligations beyond those imposed by Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. EY objects to the date and place set forth for production of documents as it does not afford adequate time for the production of documents. EY was served after the date set forth for production of documents.

3. EY objects to the subpoena's request for the inspection and copying of any and all documents in its possession, custody and/or control which may be responsive to the subpoena, insofar as the subpoena requests documents that could be obtained from parties to this case.

4. EY objects to the subpoena to the extent it calls for documents that are not in the possession, custody or control of Ernst & Young LLP, the specific entity served with this subpoena.

5. EY objects to the subpoena to the extent it calls for documents that are not relevant to any party's claim or defense, nor proportional to the needs of the case.

6. EY objects to the time period specified in the subpoena (2009 to the present) on the grounds that it renders the subpoena overbroad and would subject EY to undue burden and expense.

7. EY objects to the subpoena on the grounds that the subpoena violates Rule 45(d)(1) and its express mandate that "[a] party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense" on EY, including without limitation plaintiffs' failure to narrow the scope of each and every request insofar as it is vague, ambiguous and overbroad. If EY produces documents in response to the subpoena, it should be compensated for any and all time and expense incurred in connection with the searching, collecting, processing, and producing of such documents, consistent with Rule 45(d)(1).

8. EY objects to the subpoena pursuant to Rule 45(d)(3)(B)(i) to the extent that it seeks proprietary, confidential and trade secret information of EY, the disclosure of which would cause considerable harm to EY's business.

9. EY objects to the subpoena on the grounds that it seeks proprietary, confidential and trade secret information of persons or entities other than EY and that was provided to EY based on an expectation, understanding or obligation of confidentiality.

10. EY objects to the subpoena on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous.

11. EY objects to the subpoena on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome and oppressive, and calculated to vex, harass, and annoy EY.

12. EY objects to the subpoena to the extent it seeks the production of information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. In addition, the inadvertent production of documents protected by such privilege(s) or doctrine(s) shall not constitute a waiver of the applicable privilege(s) or doctrine(s) either as to those documents inadvertently produced or as to any other documents.

13. EY objects to the subpoena pursuant to Rule 45(d)(3)(B)(i) and 45(e)(1)(B) to the extent that it purports to require EY to produce certain electronically stored information in native formats, in formats that are proprietary to EY, in formats that require proprietary software to access, or any format other than a "reasonably usable" format.

14. EY objects to the subpoena pursuant to Rule 45(e)(1)(D) as unduly burdensome to the extent that it requires EY to search for and produce documents that are not "reasonably accessible." EY objects to any request that would require EY to search backup tapes or drives, deleted data, or legacy data from obsolete systems for responsive

documents. These types of media are not reasonably accessible and would entail undue burden and expense to restore and search. EY also objects to any request that would require EY to suspend the routine operation of its electronic information systems.

15. EY objects to the subpoena pursuant to Rule 45(e)(1)(C) as unduly burdensome to the extent that it requires EY to search for and produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

16. EY reserves the right to supplement its response (including, but not limited to, its objections) to the subpoena.

EY is willing to meet and confer regarding the requests and objections.

Very truly yours,



Rachelle Navarro
Assistant General Counsel
Ernst & Young LLP